## **ETA's Response to Draft Report**

## Appendix D

U.S. Department of Labor	Assistant Secretary for Employment and Training Washington, D.C. 20210
OCT 0 2 2015	
MEMORANDUM FOR:	ELLIOT P. LEWIS Assistant Inspector General for Audit
FROM:	PORTIA WU Assistant Secretary
SUBJECT:	Response to the Office of the Inspector General (OIG) Audit Report No. 18-15-004-03-315 – Recovery Act: Effectiveness of California in Detecting and Reducing Unemployment Insurance Improper Payments and Implementation of Employment and Training Administration National Strategies
Training Administration (E prevention, detection, and n bring down the improper pa	hity to respond to the report cited above. The Employment and TA) continues to work aggressively with states to improve the recovery of Unemployment Insurance (UI) improper payments, and to ayment rate. ETA is committed to working with California to address i improve the integrity of its UI program.
Recession, which was a tim experienced unprecedented became unemployed. Add Compensation program in a subsequent changes to thes claims workload. Extreme complex new programs stra benefits "when due," states with sub-optimal amounts in addressing improper pay temporary emergency and states, like California, strug that impacted their ability t further hindered their ability	the audit period for this report fell in the aftermath of the Great the of continued high unemployment. All states, including California, I increases in claims due to the massive numbers of workers who itionally, the enactment of both the Emergency Unemployment 2008 and the Federal Additional Compensation program in 2009, and the temporary programs, further contributed to the states' increasing ly high workloads and the requirement to rapidly implement the ained states' capacity. To maintain the statutory requirement to pay to were forced to reallocate staff and rapidly train and deploy new staff of training and experience. This created a major challenge for states rements. In addition, the complex and changing requirements of the additional benefit programs also overloaded state capacity. Many ggled with antiquated and inflexible information technology systems to address program integrity issues. These strains on state resources ty to detect, prevent, and recover improper payments.
implementation designed to comprehensive strategic pla	and a ddress major root causes of UI improper payments as part of a an. ETA continues to actively work with all states to identify and tive strategies to improve program integrity and bring down the

To promote development of innovative integrity strategies, ETA has established the national UI Integrity Center of Excellence (Center) through a cooperative agreement with the New York State Department of Labor. The Center is working collaboratively with ETA, a Steering Committee comprised of representatives from five state workforce agencies, and the National Association of State Workforce Agencies (NASWA) to guide the Center's work and ensure its strategies support all states' integrity activities, creating greater efficiency, improving operations, and saving millions of taxpayer dollars. The strategies and tools being developed by the Center will be made available to California and to all states. These strategies include, among others: data analytics and predictive modeling methodologies and tools to improve UI fraud prevention and detection; a secure portal for the rapid exchange of fraud information between states; locally adaptable staff training on fraud solutions and integrity practices; highlighting integrity practices that should be included in state UI modernization efforts; and creation of a "model" plan for Benefit Payment Control operations.

I have attached our response to the recommendations in the audit report. If you have questions, please contact Virginia Hamilton, Regional Administrator for the San Francisco Office, at (415) 625-6900.

Attachment

2

## Employment and Training Administration (ETA) Response To Office of the Inspector General (OIG) Report No. 18-15-004-03-315 – Recovery Act: Effectiveness of California in Detecting and Reducing Unemployment Insurance Improper Payments and Implementation of Employment and Training Administration National Strategies

ETA has been working, and continues to work, aggressively with states to address the issue of UI improper payments and to implement national strategies for the detection, prevention, and recovery of improper payments. Below are ETA's responses to the recommendations in this report.

## Recommendations

1. ETA should work with and encourage California to implement the National Directory of New Hires (NDNH) core strategy.

Currently, California is developing NDNH cross-match business requirements to provide to its Information Technology Branch to assess the level of effort for implementation. ETA will provide appropriate technical assistance to ensure that California submits a corrective action plan to implement NDNH cross-match as part of the Fiscal Year (FY) 2016 State Quality Service (SQSP) submission. States are required to submit the FY 2016 SQSPs to ETA by September 30, 2015.

ETA will monitor the state's progress and provide any technical assistance that California may require for the implementation of the NDNH cross-match.

2. ETA should work with and encourage California to enhance the UI claims system to capture activities related to temporary UI funding that will allow the state in the future to produce reports of all UI overpayments, underpayments and recoveries.

ETA believes that implementing this recommendation will require the commitment of critical state Information Technology resources and may not be useful or cost beneficial given that the Recovery Act programs ended in December 2013 and states are no longer required to report on Recovery Act activities. However, ETA will work closely with states in the event of future enactment of temporary unemployment compensation programs to ensure that state UI systems have the capability to produce necessary reports to monitor state UI overpayments, underpayments, and recoveries.

3. ETA should work with and encourage California to reactivate the Integrity Task Force and have it reevaluate the data and resources needed to effectively monitor and implement strategies.

ETA will work with California to ensure that its Integrity Task Force activities are reactivated and actions associated with the task force activities are outlined in its FY 2016 SQSP submission. Additionally, ETA will monitor the progress and the continued use of the state's Integrity Task Force in the development and implementation of strategies for preventing, reducing and recovering improper payments.

1

