

CABINET FOR WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT FOR EMPLOYMENT SERVICES

W. H. LILE SECRETARY 275 EAST MAIN STREET, 2WB FRANKFORT, KY 40621 PHONE (502) 564-5331 FAX (502) 564-7452 WEBSITE: www.des.ky.gov

JAMES F. THOMPSON COMMISSIONER

August 6, 2003

Mr. Michael T. Hill
Assistant Inspector General for Audit
Office of Inspector General
U.S. Department of Labor
Frances Perkins Building, Room S-5512
200 Constitution Avenue
Washington, DC 20210

Dear Mr. Hill:

This letter is in response to the draft report issued by your office on July 8, 2003 concerning an audit conducted by Tichenor & Associates, LLP.

Finding 1:

ADP/IT Central Services Costs Charged to DOL Grants Were Not Supported by CSCAPs as Required by Federal Cost Principles.

Response 1:

We disagree with the assertion that the Governor's Office of Technology (GOT) ADP/IT central services costs had not been included in the Kentucky SWCAP. The Kentucky Finance and Administration Cabinet, Office of the State Controller prepares and submits the SWCAP each year for approval to the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), Financial Management Service, Division of Cost Allocation. The cost allocation plan is negotiated between the Finance and Administration Cabinet's Office of the State Controller and the federal cognizant agency, HHS. HHS negotiates and approves the SWCAP on behalf of all federal agencies. All information requested by HHS was provided to their satisfaction as evidenced by their signed agreement (Attachment A).



EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES MFD (DES)

The face of the duly executed <u>State and Local Governments Cost Allocation Agreement</u> (Attachment B) clearly lists Computer Services under Section II: BILLED COSTS. According to the Finance and Administration Cabinet's Office of the State Controller, the billing and charge back methodology for GOT was developed in conjunction with DOL several years ago and is periodically reviewed by Otto Kent, a former DOL employee who was later employed by HHS, Division of Cost Allocation. Please find a memorandum from Mr. Kent (Attachment C) describing a 1996 site visit to review the GOT charge back methodology.

We believe that given this evidence, it cannot be construed that the Computer Services billing cost are not included in Kentucky's SWCAP.

Thank you for the opportunity to respond to the Tichenor & Associates audit. Please feel free to contact me should you wish to discuss any of the above.

Sincerely,

James F. Thom Commissioner

cc: Tichenor & Associates Stuart Weatherford

STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTS COST ALLOCATION AGREEMEN

EIN: 1610600439

STATE/LOCALITY: Commonwealth of Kentucky 702 Capital Avenue, Room 484

Frankfort, Kentucky 40601-3454

September 30, 2002

FILING REF.: The Preceding Agreement was dated

September 18, 2001

SECTION I: ALLOCATED COSTS

The central service costs listed in Exhibit A, attached, are approved on a Fixed basis and may be included as part of the costs of the State/local departments and agencies during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2002for further allocation to Federal grants, contracts, and other agreements performed at those departments and agencies. A copy of Exhibit A may also be obtained from the Division of Cost Allocation noted on page 2 of this agreement.

SECTION II: BILLED COSTS

In addition to Section I, which provides for services furnished but not billed, the services listed below are furnished and billed to departments and agencies:

- 1. Fleet Management
- Central Printing
 Property Management
- 4. Computer Services
 5. Risk Management (Self-Insurance)
- 6. Prison Industries
- 7. Pension Trust (State Employees Retirement)
- 8. Central Stores
- 9. Workers Compensation

SECTION III: CONDITIONS

The amounts approved in Section I and the billings for the services listed in Section II are subject to the following conditions:

- LIMITATIONS: (1) Charges resulting from this Agreement are subject to any statutory or A. administrative limitations and apply to a given grant, contract, or other agreement only to the extent that funds are available. (2) Such charges represent costs incurred by the State/locality, which are legal obligations of the State/locality and are allowable under OMB Circular A-87. (3) The same costs that are treated as indirect costs are not claimed as direct costs. (4) Similar types of costs are accorded consistent accounting treatment. (5) The information provided by the State/locality that was used to establish this Agreement is not later found to be materially incomplete or inaccurate.
- ACCOUNTING CHANGES: This Agreement is based on the accounting system purported by the B. State/locality to be in effect during the Agreement period. Changes to the method of accounting for costs which affect the amount of reimbursement resulting from the use of this Agreement require prior approval of the authorized representative of the Cognizant Agency. Such changes include, but are not limited to, changes in the charging of a particular type of cost from an allocated cost to a billed cost. Failure to obtain such approval may result in cost disallowance.



DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES

Program Support Ce Financial Management Sei Division of Cost Alloca

Cohen Building-Room T 330 Independence Avenue, T Washington, DC 2C PHONE: (202)-401-2 FAX: (202)-619-3

September 30, 2002

Mr. Stuart Weatherford Director, Office of the Controller Commonwealth of Kentucky 702 Capitol Avenue, Room 484 Frankfort, Kentucky 40601-3454

Dear Mr. Weatherford:

The enclosed Cost Allocation Agreement approves the Commonwealth of Kentucky Statewide Cost Allocation plan for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2002. Any variances resulting from the difference between the amounts cited as fixed in the Agreement and the actual expenditure for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2002 will be adjusted in accordance with the terms of the Cost Allocation Agreement.

The approved Central Services Costs contained in the Agreement may be considered as part of the operating costs of your various State Departments and Agencies in which activities of federally supported programs are conducted for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2001. The indirect cost rate proposals for those departments allocating costs to Federal programs should clearly identify the adjustments made to segregate costs between direct and indirect, and to properly reflect those costs normally billed and those included in the State-Wide Cost Allocation.

Enclosed are the original and one copy of the Cost Allocation Agreement. Please sign both copies and return the original to this office at your earliest convenience, retaining the copy for your file. In addition, please sign the copy of this letter of transmittal in the space provided to indicate your concurrence with the understanding sited above.

A Statewide Cost Allocation Plan, together with required supporting information, must be submitted to this office each fiscal year in which your organization allocates or bills costs under grants and contracts awarded by the Federal Government. Therefore, the Plan, based on actual costs for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2002 is due December 31, 2002.

Sincerely,

Philip A. Simard

Director

Division of Cost Allocation

Enclosures:

		-														
	ŀ	-														
		-														
						KENTUCKY S	KENTUCKY STATEWIDE COST ALLOCATION	LALLOCATION								
							FISCAL YEAR 2002	02								
							Exhibit B									
						(Amounts P	(Amounts Rounded to the Nearest Dollar)	arest Dollar)		,						
										,	9					
	Records			State	Correction	Facil	Contra		Gov 6rv	Physical	Prfo	Worksin				
	Cember	Y	Motorpood	7Stek	Industries	Securities	Stores	Prinding	Center	Plant	Вучнетв	Сощр	TO	TOTAL	Pess-Thru	Ties
USER AGENCIES	914		812	619	44	813	816	617	818	820	BZH	822	Sect	Section II	Section (I*	n fi*
		L											-			
Agriculture	6 1,206	**	(3,587)	8 (3,862)	11,253	. 8 20,780	•	8 6,399	1691	8 36,362	8 658	8 (31,400)	-	89,368	8	(1,875)
Local Government	8 687		(88)	(302)	-	50	•	8,983	8 484	1,208	-8 37B	(4,739)		16,607	*	382
Education	6 54.894	80	121	8 (35,318)	10,847	\$ 148.574	•	18,851	6 5,679	1729271	8 24,235	6 (62,013)	-	908,120		4,788
Kentucky Educatine TV	1 637	•	(774)	\$ (32,306)	-		•	9	•	9	8 (66	(3,622)		(35,722)		174
Ubraries	8 48,148	-	318	8 (1,435)	6 1,239	8 7,590	•	8 2,650	•	8 305,802	8. 409	(4,367)	9	358,697		319
Method Recources	8 42.776		(178)	\$ (24,404)	**	\$	•	8 23,005	8 8,261	8 306,296	11,084	8 (B5,782)		609,684		8,086
Transportation	8 111.111	•	(66,778)	6 (123,023)	8 195,262	-	•	6 43,655	8 . 34,819	8 718,851	\$ 115,802	•	9 1	194,187	8	(20,869)
Health Services	8 186.737		(2.238)	6 (B6.245)		•		8 151,009	8 24,194	8 - 813,343	8 10,884	\$ (387,834)	80	859,638	2	21,967
Families And Children	6 199,843	-	(2,736)	6 (36,150)	9	•		8 107,853	8 16,079	8 460,864	8 330,680	(314,086)	**	039,690	-	13,340
Labor	8 2.497		6220	6 (4,852)	0 14,270	•	•	\$ 2,723	8 2,884	\$ 10,400	1007	8 (27,274)		5,381	-	2,644
Worldorse Development	8 40.173	-	(1.409)	8 (61,284)	8 42,586	86,828	•	8 23,871	8 6,466	\$ 269,662	8 68,927	(136,690)	*	\$32,629		7,069
University Of Kantucky		69				-		•	1		•		8	(450,620)	-	•
All Others	8 1.188,183	-	(21,098)	8 (2,070,667)	\$ 500,704	187,967 81	•	8 163,751	8 62,577	6 1,381,013	\$ 164,515	(1,613,236)	85	989,819	8	41,778
		L			Ŀ											T
Tobal	8 1 ATS 001	4	(89.311)	8 72 928 1735	8 614.640	8 1.607.069	•	8 647,397	166,497	8 6,102,421		761,288 1 (2,680,844) 6 5,274,478	8 52	74,478	5	878,187
- CALDER	· Colored							-	And in case of the last of the					THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF TH	Commence of the last	

Information Systems

The Department of Information Systems, an agency in the Finance and Administration Cabinet, is responsible for the formulation of the policies and procedures necessary for usage and for providing coordination and implementation of the data processing developmental requirements for all state agencies in an efficient, timely, and cost effective manner. These responsibilities are carried out through the seven divisions in the Department, which provide technical advice and machines to the various agencies of State Government, along with management of all data processing equipment contracts in State Government.

User Agencies		Billings	Percentage	Allocation	
	Leg Research	48,670	0.104%	868	•
	Judicial	135,438	0.288%	2,416	
	Agriculture	36,881	0.078%	658	
	Attny General	36,907	0.079%	658	
	Election Fin	276,067	0.587%	4,924	•
•	Gov's Office	3,262	0.007%	58	
•	Lt. Gov's Off	. 0	0.000%	0	
	Military.	61,421	0.131%	1,096	
	Revenue	6,712,951	14.279%	119,737	
	Local Govm't	21,100	0.045%	376	
	Sec of State	19,022	0.040%	339	* come
	Boards	117,234	0.249%	2,091	
	Counc Higher Ed	51,377	0.109%	916	
	Justice	1,341,492	2.853%	23,928	
•	Corrections	494,026	1.051%.	8,812	
	Education	1,358,718	2.890%	24,235	
	KET	8,768	0.019%	156	
	Libraries	22,930	0.049%	409	
	NREP	621,412	1.322%	11,084	
	Transportation	6,492,350	13.809%	115,802	
	Commerce	20,579	0.044%	367	•
	Public Protection	395,767	0.842%	7,059	
	Insurance	55,490	0.118%	990	
	Health Services	1,114,755	2.371%	19,884	•
	Families And Children	18,533,713	39.422%	330,580	
	Tourism	310,672	0.661%	5,541	
	Labor	261,322	0.556%	4,661	•
	Workforce Development	3,864,385	8.220%	68,927	
	UK	0	0.000%	. 0	
	Other	264,346	0.562%	4,715	
	Total	42,681,031	90.784%		Exhibit B
Central Services		4,332,673	9.216%	77,280	
Total All Agencies	,	47,013,704	100.000%	\$838,568	Schedule
					20
•	•	Schedule A3	Schedule A4	Total	
	•	790,883	47,685	838,568	

SWCAP 1999-00

Analysis MREP FCT2 EXPENDITURE

079		Into Systems (Fin-Info Sys)		
	E314	593.60 maj obj group 1	35,446,332.07	
	E341	1,598.60 maj obj group 3	22,899,902.97	
	£368	1,800.48 maj obj group 4	706.96	
		maj obj group 6	1,752,052.42	
	E370	631,48 maj obj group 7	54,192.40	
		Total All Prog		60,153,186.82

Off-budget transfers in the amount of 14,366,806.88 not included in Exp. Analysis. 14,366,808.88

off-budget transfer 3,093,521.15 T113,T132 14,366,806.88 17,484,982.19



DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES

Program Support Coase
Office of Phanucial Management Service
Division of ADP Review

Coden Building-Room 1957 Ith independence Avenue, 8.99 Westington, DC 20018 PHONE: (03)-401-2013 PAX: (01)-401-2018

June 6, 1996

Kimberly O. Goodwin
Department of Accounts
Capitol Annex Building
702 Capitol Avenue, Room 484
Frankfort, Kentucky 40601-3454

Subject: Computer Center and Telecommunication Network Systems Chargeback

Review

Dear Ms. Goodwin:

Per our telephone conversation please make arrangements for our On-site Cost Determination review of the Kentucky State Computer and Network systems cost allocation and chargeback methodologies. We expect to limit our on-site review work to one week or less, July 22 - 26, 1996.

The purpose of the review is to identify the cost accounting and chargeback methodologies used by grantee and contractor organizations, and evaluate their appropriateness as a basis for cost reimbursements from Federal Programs. Our primary interest is in the identification, allowability, and allocability of costs for statewide computer services, local area networks (LAN), wide area networks (WAN), and statewide telecommunication systems.

Please have the documents named in the attached list available for review on Monday June 22, 1996. To expedite the review please forward copies of your most recent computer services and network processing cost allocation plans and organization charts by June 20, 1996 to assist in our planning process.

We anticipate the need to interview network, telecommunication and data center officials responsible for accounting, billing and cost allocation methodology.

Please call me or William Logan on (202) 401-2812 if you should have any questions or you can contact me by fax at (202) 401-2820. Thank you for your cooperation.

W. Otto Kent, Director Division of ADP Review Attachment cc: John West, PSC, FMS Charles Seed, FMS, DCA Steve Garfinkel, USDOL, OCD Mark Regan, HHS, ACF Richard Friedman, HHS, HCFA Dave Temoshok, USDA